STATE OF INDIANA IN THE CARROLL CIRCUIT COURT ISS: COUNTY OF CIRCUIT CAUSE NO.: 08C01-2210-MR-1 STATE OF INDIANA, Plaintiff, VS. RICHARD ALLEN, Defendant. RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS HELD MARCH 18, 2024

Volume 1, Page 1

APPEARANCES

2

3

4

5

1

For State of Indiana:

roi State of Indiana

Nicholas C. McLeland/Stacey Diener/ James Luttrell Carroll County Prosecutors Office 101 West Main Street, Suite 204 Delphi, Indiana 46923

6

7

8

9

For Defendant:

Bradley A. Rozzi Hillis, Hillis, Rozzi & Dean 200 Fourth Street Logansport, Indiana 46947

Baldwin, Perry & Wiley, PC

150 North Main Street Franklin, Indiana 46131

Andrew J. Baldwin

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

б

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Before we get started – and, Ms. Diener, I understand you'll be easy handling the State's argument on the motion to dismiss?

ATTORNEY STACEY DIENER: Yes, I will.

THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to advise counsel that when I granted the Defense request for speedy trial and set the trial as scheduled, I caused the jury office to begin the process to get the jury questionnaires out to everybody, and on March 14th, the questionnaire packets went out in the mail. That was the questionnaire that you all looked at before and submitted with the letter from the Court dated March 11th of 2024, to accompany the questionnaire, and I established the same timeline that we had before. So as soon as the questionnaires come back, I will provide those to counsel on a flash drive. And then I know, before we part company here after the motion to dismiss, there are several motions filed by both sides that we'll need to address before we go off the record. So –

ATTORNEY BRADLEY ROZZI: May I?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROZZI: Judge, with regard to the questionnaires, did the Court include the proposals from both sides or –

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROZZI: -- either side? I just didn't understand exactly.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROZZI: You did?

THE COURT: Whatever questionnaire you all approved and submitted back to the Court is the questionnaire –

1	MR. ROZZI: Okay.	
2	THE COURT: that went out.	
3	MR. ROZZI: All right. Very good. Thank you.	
4	THE COURT: I think the only thing that I didn't have from couns	el
5	was a list of witnesses, but if you could provide that on the first day of jury - vo	ir
6	dire, we can play that downstairs for them. All right. Mr. Baldwin, you ma	ıy
7	proceed on your motion to dismiss for destroying exculpatory evidence.	
8	ATTORNEY ANDREW BALDWIN: Thank you. We'd call Todd Click	ζ.
9	(Witness sworn.)	
10	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
11	MR. BALDWIN: Thank you, Judge.	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF TODD CLICK	
13	QUESTIONS BY MR. BALDWIN.	
14	Q State your name for the record.	
15	A My name is Todd Click.	
16	Q Spell your name, if you would.	
17	A C-L-I-C-K, first name is Todd, T-O-D-D.	
18	Q What do you do for a living?	
9	A I am currently a parole agent with the State of Indiana.	
20	Q What did you do prior to that?	
21	A I was a police officer with the Rushville Police Department.	
22	Q How long did you do that?	
23	A A little more than 20 years, from February 6th of 2001, and then I retired	1
4	December 31st of 2021.	
5	Q To get to the heart of this, have you worked on the Delphi case?	

1 Α Yes, I have. Can you tell the Judge what kind of work you did on the case. 2 I provided investigative assistance to two other detectives, Detective Greg 3 Ferency, who was a Terre Haute police officer with the - and he was also an FBI 4 Joint Terrorism Task Force member, and Detective Kevin Murphy, who was 5 Indiana State Police detective, who was also a FBI Joint Terrorism Task Force 6 7 member. And what kind of things were you doing in terms of investigative support? 8 Q 9 Conducting interviews and trying to gather evidence. Α 10 Over the course of how long did you do that? 0 Roughly three years. I began providing assistance approximately June of 11 2018, and ceased when Detective Ferency was shot and killed in the line of duty 12 13 July 7th of 2021. All right. Can you just give a very general understanding, a little bit more 14 detail than you did, but still general of what kind of things you did. 15 Well, in June of 2018, Detective Ferency and Detective Murphy came to 16 Rushville to conduct a interview with a gentleman by the name of Elvis Fields. 17 They asked to use our interview room at the Rushville Police Department. They 18 asked me if I would be willing to operate the equipment for them. They asked 19 me if I was familiar with Elvis Fields, to which I was. They asked if I would kind 20 21 of watch the interview and kind of give a - my opinion on what -22 Q Kind of the hometown opinion of -23 Α Yeah, yes. 24 O Okay. Keep going.

Okay. So after that, Detective Murphy and Detective Ferency told me that

25

Α

they were looking at a couple of individuals from the Delphi area. Who were they? They were Brad Holder and Patrick Westfall. O Okay. Keep going. They asked me if I could help maybe try and find a tie between Elvis Fields and Mr. Holder and Mr. Westfall. Okay. So that was one of your kind of things that you did in this course O of this investigation? That is correct. Were you able to find any ties between Elvis Fields and Brad Holder and Patrick Westfall? Yes, I was. What ties did you find? While reviewing some photographs on Brad Holder's Facebook page, I located a photograph with the approximately five individuals, they were all wearing Vinlander T-shirts. For those who don't know, what is Vinlander? Vinlander is a group that practices Norse and paganism religion, kind of along the Viking culture. Okay. Keep going. Q So in the photos, I identified Brad Holder and Patrick Westfall, and there was a gentleman standing in between the two of them that I immediately recognized to be Johnny Messer. Okay. How do you know Johnny Messer? O Johnny Messer is from Rushville, he'd been arrested numerous times from Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Rushville Police Department, so I was very familiar with Johnny. Okay. What else, if anything, did you do following the recognition that Johnny Messer from Rushville was hanging out with these two guys from Delphi named Brad Holder and Patrick Westfall? Okay. So I knew from previous incidents that Johnny Messer's uncle, Billy Messer, used to live with Elvis Fields. Q Okay. So that's another connection? That is correct. Q Keep going. So I contacted Detective Ferency and Detective Murphy and told them specifically to look at that photo and that the individual standing in between Brad Holder and Patrick Westfall was Johnny Messer, who was an individual from Rushville. Okay. Was there any other - what did you do after that? Q Okay. So after that, I know that Detective Ferency and Detective Murphy came down to Rushville and we had conducted an interview with Johnny Messer. Okay. And then what happened? After conducting that interview, we also conducted an interview with Taylor Hornaday, who was an ex-girlfriend of Johnny Messer's. And did she provide any information that was useful in the investigation? Α Yes, she did. Okay. Keep going. What next? Q She was able to provide photographs of Johnny Messer, Patrick Westfall, Brad Holder, several other individuals, that the photographs were taken during club meetings or outings that the Vinlander group conducted.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

`	1	Q Okay. What did you do then?
	2	A We conducted several other interviews. I had specifically asked Johnny if
	3	he had tried to recruit anyone else from the Rushville area to be a part of this
	4	Vinlander group. He denied that he did –
	5	MS. DIENER: Judge, I'm gonna object to him reporting on what
	6	Johnny Messer may have told him.
	7	THE COURT: Sustained.
	8	MS. DIENER: Johnny Messer is not here to testify.
	9	Q After your interview with Johnny Messer, what then did you do?
1	10	A I conducted several interviews of people that I knew were connected to
1	11	Johnny Messer, and those individuals told me that Johnny had tried to recruit
1	12	them into the Vinlander group.
1	13	Q Okay. So after you learn that Johnny Messer is recruiting people, then
1	4	what do you do?
1	.5	A We had also – during our interview with Taylor Hornaday, she had given
1	6	us a cell phone of hers – well, she had indicated that there was a cell phone of
1	7	hers that contained recordings of a kidnapping that Johnny was involved in, so
1	8	we obtained a search warrant to go into that cell phone and conduct a forensic
1	9	exam to retrieve those recordings.
2	0.	Q Okay. Was that fruitful?
2	1	A There were recordings of Johnny Messer and another individual
2	2	kidnapping an individual at gunpoint in the Indianapolis area.
2	3	Q What did you then do?
2	4	A At that point, we tried to identify who the victim of that kidnapping was,
2	5	but we were unsuccessful.

Okay. Keep going. What was kind of the next big thing that you did on O this case? All right. We conducted some other interviews. We had spoken with Joyce Moffitt, who is Elvis Fields's sister. During that interview, she confirmed that she had heard Elvis make comments about things associated with the crime scene, the murder crime scene of Abby Williams and Liberty German. Okay. What did you do with that information or what did you do next? That information was given - of course, myself, Detective Murphy, and Detective Ferency were aware of that information, and I was told by Detective Ferency and Detective Murphy that they were going to try to contact Unified Command to try and obtain a search warrant for the residence of Elvis Fields. Okay. What happened with that? 0 We never heard anything. Unified Command never called and said, "Yep, tell us what information O and we'll try to get that"? Yeah. I - Detective Murphy and Detective Ferency were unable to give me an answer as to whether or not we were authorized to get a search warrant. So Joyce Moffitt, Elvis's sister, says basically he's somehow involved in the O crime and you weren't able to secure a search warrant of any type? Α That is correct. O Okay. What happened next? We conducted several other interviews throughout that timeframe. Α Okay. Was there any other connection between Elvis Fields and Brad Holder that you know of? Α We were able to connect Elvis Fields to a gentleman by the name of Josh

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- DIRECT EXAMINATION OF TODD CLICK Crisman. Josh Crisman was originally part of a group called the American There was a Make America Great Again rally that was held in Guard. Indianapolis approximately 2016 I believe, where the American Guard and Vinlanders were together, and after that rally, they had a house party at a gentleman's house by the name of Mickey McGilley. Mickey McGinley? McGinley, yes, thank you. He was also - Mr. McGinley was also a Α Vinlander. He was also friends with a gentleman by the name of Brian James, who was the - I guess the head of the American Guard. O Okav. So we were able to connect Josh Chrisman, we knew that he was a semi truck driver that conducted deliveries for a company called Flynn Livestock that would transport hogs to different facilities. I know that Wiechman's Pig Farm in Delphi and then the Tyson plant in Logansport that they would make deliveries to. Elvis Fields kind of worked under the table at Flynn Livestock and he would get paid by the drivers to load and unload the semis and he would periodically ride with the drivers to make the deliveries.
- So Johnny Messer knew what's this this guy's name? The new guy that 0 vou were just talking about?
- Α Josh Crisman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Josh Crisman. And Josh Crisman knew Elvis Fields? 21 0
 - Yes, that is correct.
 - Okay. So that's another connection. Were there any other connections to Brad Holder, between Elvis Fields and Brad Holder?
 - Between Josh Crisman and Johnny Messer, I don't recall any others. Α

Okay. Do you recall if there were any Facebook photos that you had looked 1 at where Brad Holder was following Elvis Fields or vice versa? 2 There were some pictures on Elvis Field's Facebook page and Brad Holder's 3 Facebook page that were very similar in nature. 4 5 What do you mean by that? There was pictures of sticks that were placed in different arrangements. I 6 know that there was a - like a picture of a folding pocket knife that they each 7 had. They each has similar pictures of trees. It was just - the similarities were 8 9 very odd. Okay. So that could be another connection between Brad Holder and Elvis 10 11 Fields? 12 We believe so, yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did Elvis Fields ever admit to anybody else or say anything else that was O suspicious or would show that he might have been involved in these murders?

MS. DIENER: Judge, I'm going to object. Their motion is about a missing audio recording of a Brad Holder interview, and I'm not sure what the connection with Elvis Fields or how this is helpful to us with regard to their motion.

THE COURT: How is this relevant to your motion?

MR. BALDWIN: Judge, Mr. McLeland, in his response to our motion, said that - two things: Number one, that the - it's - this - the missing document, the missing video is not either exculpatory or materially useful to the Defense. What I am doing right now is laying down the foundation that, at the end of the road, you will see, yeah, this is actually - it actually would be materially useful to the Defense based upon his investigation, number one.

Number two, you will be finding, in a moment, that - well, Mr. McLeland argued
bad faith, you have to prove bad faith. Well, that's difficult to do, and part of
what is happening here is you're going to learn that Mr. Click - that the law
enforcement working on this case operated in bad faith in that they refused to
investigate - they tried to - in conjunction with other evidence that I will be
talking about –
THE COURT: Okay. So we're gonna get to this evidence, then?
MR. BALDWIN: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that what you're telling me?
MR. BALDWIN: Yes.
THE COURT: Then, let's get to that evidence -
MR. BALDWIN: Yes.
THE COURT: shall we?
MR BALDWIN: I mean, it may not be through this witness, it may
be through others.
THE COURT: Well, let's get to it then, sir.
MR. BALDWIN: Okay.
Q Did Elvis Fields make any admissions that you know of about being
involved in the crime?
MS. DIENER: Objection. I would ask that those be admissions
made to him.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. BALDWIN: Judge, hearsay - there's a hearsay exception

that would be against their interest.

against interest, and that's - if somebody says that, "I was involved in a crime,"

1 THE COURT: To whom? 2 It'd be against their own interest. "I killed MR. BALDWIN: somebody," that would be - that's not hearsay, that's an exception to the 3 4 hearsay. The objection was that it wasn't to this gentleman 5 THE COURT: 6 here. 7 MR. BALDWIN: Oh. THE COURT: That's what I sustained. 8 Did – did Elvis – did you ever hear Elvis Fields say anything where he was 9 involved in this crime, as part of your investigation? 10 11 Elvis did not say anything to me directly, no. A Did he say anything to Kevin Murphy? 12 O There was - back in February of 2018, I believe Jerry Holeman and Kevin 13 Murphy interviewed Elvis Fields at the Rushville Police Department, and when 14 Detective Murphy took Elvis back to his trailer, Elvis approached Detective 15 Murphy and said, "Hey, if my spit is found on those girls and I've got a reason 16 for why it's there, I'll be okay; correct?" 17 Okay. After that type of information, do you know if that was relayed -18 was that part of what information, if you know, was relayed to the United -19 Unified Command here to try to see if they'd be willing to get a search warrant 20 21 for Elvis Fields's house? 22 Yes. And that was - if I recall, that was part of the - well, there were some statements that Elvis had made to his sister that initially prompted the 23 24 investigation into Elvis Fields. 25 Q Okay. And was that Joyce Moffitt or somebody else?

1 Α That was a different sister. 2 Okay. What did that part of your investigation reveal? 3 Elvis had made some statements to his sister Mary Abrams, I don't recall exactly all of the words that he used, but he had told Mary that he was gonna go 4 away for a while, he had done something bad to some girls, he was on a high 5 bridge, that they had placed sticks in one of the girl's hair to represent antlers, 6 that he had - he was in a gang and that he had a brother now. 7 8 He had a what now? 9 MS. DIENER: Judge, I'd like to renew the objection. 10 bringing us back to Brad Holder. THE COURT: We're kind of wandering far afield here. You said 11 you'd get to the evidence, let's get there. 12 13 Okay. After Richard - well, just - let's just - how much stuff was out there, 14 in your opinion, that would cause a good investigator to think Elvis Fields and 15 Brad Holder were somehow connected to these murders? 16 It was the belief of Detective Murphy, Detective Ferency, and I that there 17 was a strong likelihood that there was - that Brad Holder, Patrick Westfall, and 18 Elvis Fields had a strong involvement in the murders of the girls. 19 Okay. So how did this investigation on your end wind down? The - my investigation came to an end when Detective Ferency was shot 20 21 and killed in the line of duty. And then, what happened after that? What do you do then, if anything, 22 0 23 on the Delphi case? 24 Α I did absolutely -25 MS. DIENER: Judge, may I object again and be more specific in my

objection. We're talking about a recording of Brad Holder in – on February 17th of 2017. All of this is years later. And they have to show how we would know that this evidence is exculpatory in 2017, when the recording goes missing, in order to meet the threshold for materially exculpatory. We're not getting there, and it doesn't seem to be going that direction, and it's irrelevant to what was known in 2017, when the recording went missing.

MR. BALDWIN: Again, Judge, Mr. McLeland responded to my motion, citing case law that says, number one, you have to show that it – as Ms. Diener just said, that it's either exculpatory or the other word was "materially useful to the Defense". This is all foundational for what now I'm about to get into, which is what happened after he was done, what was done or what wasn't done. Bad faith, very difficult to prove, and that's what we're – we're gonna be venturing into that territory; but also, it's going to show that what could have been or would have been on those missing videos would have been certainly, certainly useful to the Defense, materially useful, and/or exculpatory, and that's for the Court to decide.

THE COURT: I'd have to sustain the objection.

- Q Did you have a chance well, next, what did you then what was the next time you did anything on the Delphi case?
- A I did nothing further after Detective Ferency was shot and killed.
- Q After Richard Allen was arrested, what, if anything, did you do?
- A I eventually contacted a friend of mine, who was a former prosecutor, and was a criminal defense attorney.
- Q Why did you do that?

A After Richard Allen was arrested, I was initially kind of shocked and

	conf	used. I read the affidavit, the probable cause affidavit for the arrest, and I
	felt l	ike the investigative information that Detective Ferency, Detective Murphy,
	and	I had compiled was more compelling than what was contained within the
	prob	able cause affidavit for Richard Allen's arrest.
	Q	So what did you do based upon that thought?
	A	Well, I wasn't sure exactly what to do, so I reached out to a friend of mine,
	who	is a former prosecutor and was a defense attorney for advice on what I
	shou	ld do.
	Q	And what did you do after talking with him?
	A	We decided that we would draft a letter to Mr. McLeland's office and that's
ı	what	we did.
	Q	Okay. I'm handing you what's been marked now as Defendant's Exhibit
	A; if l	could get you to review that for me and, when you're done, let me know.
	A	Yes. This is part of the letter that was submitted to Mr. McLeland's office.
	Q	Okay. And that was sent by certified mail?
	A	Yes, sir, it was.
	Q	What date?
	A	It would have been sent in the mail on April 28th of 2023.
	Q	Who did you send it to?
	A	Mr. McLeland's office.
	Q	What was your reasoning for writing this letter?
	A	Just to make sure that he was aware of the investigative work that
	Detec	tive Murphy and Detective Ferency and I had conducted.
	Q	Would you also say you're –
		MR. BALDWIN: Well, I'll move to admit Defendant's Exhibit A.

and -

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT A OFFERED

MS. DIENER: Judge, this letter refers to his report and it is a letter from 2023, and he indicated that he began his participation in June of 2018, and the rules regarding – the case law regarding a motion to dismiss for destruction of exculpatory evidence, or even, in the alternative, if it's potentially useful, determining whether the State acted in bad faith has to do with what was known at the time of the particular item of evidence being missing, lost, or destroyed. This is far beyond that time period and our argument is that it's not relevant for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: Any response?

MR. BALDWIN: If you're hiding evidence back in 2017, it might be something that you would do in 2023, and that's where this is headed, so –

MS. DIENER: There's been no testimony of hidden evidence, Judge.

MR. BALDWIN: Well, we're about to get into that.

THE COURT: You keep telling me we're about to get into that, sir,

MR. BALDWIN: It takes time, Judge, I'm sorry. I will -

THE COURT: I'll show Defendant's A admitted over objection. I think the objection goes to the weight I will give this particular exhibit, rather than its admissibility.

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT A ADMITTED

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you, Judge.

Q In this document, you say, "I want to write to ensure you've been provided all of the information associated with the investigative efforts for your use in this case and for disclosure to opposing counsel, as provided by law"; is that right?

1	A That is correct.
2	Q Why'd you do that?
3	A Per the advice of my attorney, any information that was presented to the
4	Prosecutor's Office should also be discoverable to any defense counsel.
5	Q Okay. Is that all that you provided in the letter, the certified mailing, that
6	you sent to Nick McLeland?
7	A There was also a brief summary of our investigative product.
8	Q I'm gonna hand you what's now being marked as Defendant's Exhibit B
9	and ask you to review that. Tell me when you're ready.
10	A Yes. This is the investigative summary that was included with the letter
11	to Mr. McLeland's office.
12	Q Pretty much much or, if not all, of what you have already previously
13	testified to is contained in Exhibit B?
14	A For the most part, yes.
15	MR. BALDWIN: Okay. Move to admit Exhibit B.
16	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B OFFERED
17	MS. DIENER: State objects for the same reason: It's outside the
18	scope of what's relevant to the evaluation of a missing recording from February
19	17 - 2017, discovered missing that same year.
20	THE COURT: I'll show B is admitted over objection. Again, it's the
21	weight, rather than admissibility.
22	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B ADMITTED
23	Q So that was April the 29th that you sent this document, detailing much of
24	what your investigation involving Brad Holder, Patrick Westfall, and Elvis Fields;
25	is that correct?

1 Α That is correct. So did you hear from Nick McLeland on - at any time during May of 2023? 2 3 No, I did not. What about June of 2023? Did you hear from Nick McLeland at any time? 4 O 5 No, I did not. What about July of 2023? Did you hear from Mr. McLeland then? 6 0 7 No, I did not. What about August of 2023? Did you hear from Mr. McLeland then? 8 9 I was contacted by Mr. Mullins of Mr. McLeland's office and it was mid-10 August, I believe, of 2023. 11 Tell me about that. 0 He wanted to set up a meeting with me and Detective Holeman and asked 12 that I provide all of my investigative materials, which would include police 13 reports, audio/video recordings of interviews, and then they told me that they 14 would sit down with me to go over some of the evidence that they had against 15 16 Mr. Allen to try and put my mind at ease. 17 0 Put your mind at ease? That is correct. 18 I want to talk about some - so like videotapes of who? Who did you - well, 19 strike that. Did you do that when you arrived? 20 21 A Yes, I did. Okay. Did Mr. McLeland ask you, in May - well, or anybody from law 22 enforcement ask you to bring any of those items in May of 2023? 23 24 Α No. sir.

Did they - anybody in law enforcement or in Mr. McLeland's office ask you

25

O

to bring any of those pieces of evidence, videos of Elvis Fields and others, in June 2 of 2023? 3 No, sir. 4 July of 2023? 5 No. sir. 6 Okay. But it was in August of 2023? 7 Yes. 8 All right. So then what did you do? I went to the Indiana State Police Post and met with the detectives, Mr. 9 Holeman and Mr. Vido [phonetic], I believe. 10 11 What happened there? I provided them with everything that I had. I provided them with a thumb 12 drive that contained all of the interviews that was conducted. I believe there was 13 some cell phone extraction data that was included in that, like my audio and 14 video interviews, and then I sat down with Detective Vido [phonetic] for 15 approximately an hour or so and just kind of gave a brief summary of the 16 investigative work that Detective Ferency, Detective Murphy, and I had 17 completed. 18 19 Did they seem interested in your investigative efforts? 20 Α In my opinion, no. Well, you said you were there because you were - how is it that you 21 22 phrased it? - you were concerned? They - part of it was they were going to show me evidence against Mr. Allen 23 to help put my mind at ease. 24

25

Q

Did they do that?

1	A No, sir.
2	Q After this meeting with Vido and Holeman and whoever else you said, what
3	then happened?
4	A I left the State Police Post. As I was walking out, I noticed Brad Holder
5	sitting in the front lobby. I went out - on out to my car and drove back to
6	Rushville.
7	Q After you drove back to Rushville, what - how often, if ever, did anybody
8	from law enforcement contact you about what you had just provided them?
9	A I was never contacted.
10	Q Did you ever contact them?
11	A I contacted them – I contacted Detective Holeman and –
12	Q Why did you do that?
13	A Shortly after the Franks memorandum had been released, I was contacted
14	by a friend of mine that stated a female by the name of Alycia Cole, who was -
15	had had a child with Johnny Messer, had a cell phone of Johnny's that she
16	believed I might be interested in.
17	Q Were you aware during your - the course of your investigation, before you
18	kind of got off the investigation, of a phone that you would have liked to have
19	had?
20	A Yes. We searched for several cell phones belonging to Johnny Messer that
21	Johnny would have been using during the time that Abby and Libby would have
22	been killed. We actually referred to that cell phone as the Goldilocks cell phone.
23	We believed that there might have been information contained on that cell phone.
24	Q Okay. So what did you do once you heard that somebody had contacted
5	you about a cell phone that belonged to Johnny Messer - did you say in 20172

1	A That is correct.
2	Q What did you then do?
3	MS. DIENER: Judge, may I renew my objection unless this Johnny
4	Messer cell phone relates somehow to Brad Holder.
5	THE COURT: Yeah -
6	MR. BALDWIN: It does.
7	THE COURT: sustained.
8	Q Did you cont –
9	MR. BALDWIN: I don't know where I can go, Judge. I mean –
10	Q Did you contact anyone about this phone to go – from the law enforcement
11	side to go pick up this phone with evidence that you thought might be on there
12	from 2017?
13	A I contacted Detective Holeman.
14	Q Okay. And what happened?
15	A I checked with Alycia Cole - when I spoke with Detective Holeman, I
16	explained to him the significance that we felt the cell phone provided. Detective
17	Holeman said that he would make arrangements to obtain that cell phone. I
18	contacted Alycia Cole and told her that a Detective Holeman from the State Police
19	would be contacting her to retrieve that cell phone. After approximately two
20	weeks, I contacted Alycia, just to make sure that that cell phone had been
21	recovered by the State Police and it had not been.
22	Q And then what happened?
23	A At that time, I contacted Brian Alvey, who's an investigator for the - your
24	criminal defense team, advised him of the cell phone, and he went and retrieved
25	the cell phone.

	II	
1	Q	Are you familiar with Cellebrite and how, once the - tell the Judge what
2	Celle	brite is and what you know – how you know how to use it.
3	A	Cellebrite is a program that law enforcement uses to extract data from
4	electi	conic devices. You can – for a cell phone, example, you can recover data
5	11 .	s currently on the cell phone and you can recover data that has been deleted
6	from	the cell phone.
7	Q	Okay. Have you had a chance to look at the results of a phone dump from
8	2023	
9	A	I briefly looked at it, yes.
10	Q	Okay. I want to hand you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibit C or
11	shoul	d be. Are you familiar with this thumb drive?
12	A	Yes, I am.
13	Q	Okay. What is that?
14	A	This is the thumb drive that contains the extracted data from Patrick
15	Westf	all's cell phone.
16	Q	How much data was on there, in terms of the dates? From what date to
17	what	date?
18	A	There wasn't -
19		MS. DIENER: Judge, may I interrupt with a preliminary question?
20		THE COURT: Yes.
21		MS. DIENER: Did you already testify as to where the Westfall phone
22	dump	came from?
23	A	No, I did not testify to that.
24		MS. DIENER: I would object on foundation.
25		THE COURT: Foundation?

1	Q Where did you get the phone dump information from?
2	A From you, sir.
3	Q Okay. What – I asked you to review that for me?
4	A That is correct.
5	MS. DIENER: Do you have specialized training in Cellebrite?
6	A I have not been trained on the Cellebrite program; however, I'm very
7	familiar with the reports that are generated from the cell phone extractions.
8	MS. DIENER: But you're not trained?
9	A I have not been trained by the Cellebrite company, no.
10	MS. DIENER: And when you were a law enforcement officer, did
11	you have access to Cellebrite equipment in order to operate that and to complete
12	examinations with regard to a Cellebrite dump?
13	A When I was a detective, I was an investigator for the Indiana State Police
14	Crimes Against Children Task Force. Any cell phone that we had that we were
15	going to do a forensic examination on, we would take to the Indiana State Police
16	Cybercrimes Unit, they were - they would extract that data and then they would
17	prov <mark>ide me w</mark> ith the data that was extracted.
18	MS. DIENER: No other questions.
19	Q And, sir, that phone dump that you looked at today, that's what you have
20	seen in other cases, that's the extraction?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q What dates did Patrick Westfall, on his phone, have for that extraction?
23	A The first date of significant extracted detail that I saw was on August 12th
24	of 2023.
25	Q What about the last date?

1	A I did not review that.
2	Q Okay. Did you see anything in July of 2023, texts, e-mails, photographs,
3	anything like that?
4	A No, I did not.
5	Q What about – let's go back to April, anything in April?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q Anything in January?
8	THE COURT: Excuse me, would counsel approach, please.
9	(Sidebar conference conducted.)
10	THE COURT: None of this is in your motion, not one shred of this
11	is in your motion. Your motion deals with a document dated February 17th,
12	2017, it is an FBI report of Brad Holder, and on the same day – strike that,
13	February 19th, the interview of Patrick Westfall. That is what you have
14	complained about in your motion.
15	MR. BALDWIN: Right.
16	THE COURT: None of this is in your motion.
17	MR. BALDWIN: This is the evidence to support the motion and to
18	counteract Mr. McLeland's argument that there's bad – there's not bad faith and
19	that this is –
20	THE COURT: This is very specific, Mr. Baldwin. You did not
21	incorporate any of this information in your motion to dismiss.
22	MS. DIENER: May I speak to our response?
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	MS. DIENER: Our response about bad faith, we don't even get to
25	bad faith unless they show that it's exculpatory, and then, in the alternative,

that it's potentially useful. We haven't even gotten to potentially useful for the recording that's missing of Brad Holder. So our response about bad faith is after we get through whether we're in the category of materially exculpatory, which is the heading of their motion, or potentially useful, and we aren't at either one.

MR. BALDWIN: What you would learn, Judge, is that Patrick Westfall, his phone – he brought his phone in to the police at their request, and the phone that he brought to them only had four days – knowing that he was going there, that he brought a phone with no data on it predating when he knew he was going in there; i.e., it's either scrubbed or he brought in a new phone. And that goes toward, Judge, showing that he had a predisposition or a – he was trying to hide evidence; and when he's trying to hide evidence, that then goes toward, "Well, if he was trying to hide evidence in 2023, what was he doing back in 2017?"

THE COURT: Then, perhaps, you should have pled that, because you did not plead that in this particular motion that I am holding in my hand.

MS. DIENER: And if I may say, Judge, the content of the motion with regard to Patrick Westfall says that they have been given a narrative and there is no recording and they are speculating as to whether we're not telling the truth about whether there's a recording, so I have a witness here to testify to that effect. So our preparation has been for the allegation of a dismissal based on destruction of exculpatory evidence, it is specific to a recording of Brad Holder on February 17 of '17 that was discovered missing or lost in August of '17. That's their time window.

THE COURT: And I've given you a lot of leeway. None of this - none of this testimony, very little of this testimony, deals with your motion to dismiss

for destroying exculpatory evidence as you pled. You can stand there and tell 1 me all you want, but this is what you pled, and I'm not hearing any evidence 2 3 about that. MR. BALDWIN: In order to win on that, we don't - this is - we don't 4 have to plead everything that's going to happen in a trial. I mean, the -5 THE COURT: No, but you do in a motion to dismiss. You have to 6 put the other party on notice, which you did, and they filed a particularized 8 response to it. I - well, if you're not going to allow me to do it, I MR. BALDWIN: can do an offer to prove and we can move this hearing along a little bit more quickly. THE COURT: You can do an offer to prove, that's fine, yes. MR. BALDWIN: As it relates to Patrick Westfall and his phone. MS. DIENER: The missing -THE COURT: As it relates to -MS. DIENER: To Brad Holder. THE COURT: -- what you have in your pleading. MS. DIENER: Brad Holder is the missing interview, that's what's destroyed. MR. BALDWIN: Well, Patrick Westfall, too. MS. DIENER: No, he does not have a recording. This is about a lost recording. You've been given the narrative of Patrick Westfall. There is no recording.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Volume 1, Page 27

MR. BALDWIN: That's the point.

MS. DIENER: You're alleging -

1	MR. BALDWIN: That's the point, there's not a recording.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES LUTTRELL: That's not destruction of evidence.
3	MS. DIENER: Your dismissal's based on destruction of evidence.
4	MR. BALDWIN: Yeah. All right.
5	THE COURT: So let's get to that.
6	MR. BALDWIN: Okay.
7	THE COURT: And if you want to do an offer to prove, do an offer to
8	prove.
9	(Sidebar conference concluded.)
10	MR. BALDWIN: I'll do an offer to prove.
11	Q All right, let's move on to Brad Holder. You said you saw Brad Holder
12	outside the interview room – correct? – when you left.
13	A I saw him in the lobby of the State Police Post.
14	What kind of questions do you – would you expect State Police to be asking
15	Brad Holder in an interview, as an investigator?
16	MS. DIENER: Objection, Judge: Speculation.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	Q I'm handing you what's been - going to be marked as Defendant's Exhibit
19	D; can you identify that for me, please.
20	A Yes, this is a thumb drive that contains the audio recording of an interview
21	conducted with Brad Holder.
22	Q And did you get a chance to review that?
23	A Yes, I did.
24	MR. BALDWIN: Move to admit Defendant's Exhibit D.
25	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT D OFFERED

MS. DIENER: I have a couple more questions, Judge. Mr. Click, what date is this interview from?

A August 30th of 2023.

MS. DIENER: State would object based on relevance with regard to a missing recording from 2017, which is the subject of this motion.

MR. BALDWIN: This is a Brad Holder interview, Judge, that van be compared to the transcripts that are memorialized – one page, a hundred words or something like that, memorization of the 2017 missing videotape, so I think that that's certainly appropriate and relevant.

MS. DIENER: Judge - I'm sorry, can you restate how that's relevant? I'm missing the connection.

MR. BALDWIN: Well, there's a missing video in 2017, and then the State followed up with an interview in 2023; and what's contained in here, which, if anything is different that's in here versus over in 2017, that would show that the missing evidence has value, so that's the relevance.

MS. DIENER: Judge, the evaluation of the value of the missing evidence, again the case law, which I'm sure the Court's well familiar with, that is cited in both the Defense's motion and the State's response, makes it very clear that with regard to missing evidence, the evaluation is specific to the time during which the evidence went missing. That's in 2017. This interview in 2023 is not relevant to that timeframe. Again, we're way beyond what the law requires for a motion to dismiss for destruction of exculpatory evidence.

THE COURT: Your objection is well founded. I will show it and not admit D.

MR. BALDWIN: Judge, if what's contained in the memorialized

transcript or report from 2017 has different information than what is contained in the 2023 interview, how can that – I don't understand how that can not be relevant.

THE COURT: Based on your pleading, sir, it is not relevant.

MR. BALDWIN: I will offer to prove, then, if that's okay. I will summarily detail what Mr. Click would have testified to and what the exhibit would show. Is that okay, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes, make an offer to prove.

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you. What you would learn from Mr. Click and his review of this document or this exhibit that Brad West - the 2023 videotaped interview, as well as the videotaped interview itself, is this: Brad Holder was never asked a single question about Elvis Fields; Brad Holder was never asked a single question about Johnny Messer; Brad Holder was never asked a single question about what he - what his ex-wife, Amber Holder, said that Brad Holder confessed that Patrick Westfall was involved in the case and was involved in the murders, that Brad Holder was scared of Patrick Westfall. All of that would be missing. Also, you would find out that in the 2023 interview, Brad Holder claims that he met Abby one time, but in 2017 memorialized paper, he never met her. All of that.

MS. DIENER: Judge, I renew my objection. The statements made by counsel with regard to Brad Holder not being questioned about Fields, Messer, or the ex-wife is all conjecture as to whether that information was even helpful to police. Again, these people are not on the inside of this investigation and they're making an evaluation from the outside and then transposing what Mr. Click knows from reviewing interviews that have been provided in Defense or

CROSS EXAMINATION OF TODD CLICK

through his own investigation and its relevance as to what the law enforcement agencies knew in 2017 with regard to the interview of Brad Holder on February 17th of 2017, and the time period between then and when the interview recording was lost. It's a very specific timeframe. It's their motion. I don't understand why we're so far beyond it –

MR. BALDWIN: Judge -

MS. DIENER: -- but I'd appreciate your consideration.

MR. BALDWIN: Part of the evidence is also we did not receive any of those videos for ten months after they were owed us; three months, almost four months, after Mr. McLeland and his office was provided this documentation from Mr. Click, telling him, "We have all this evidence of other suspects." I think it's all relevant, but I will move on.

THE COURT: Please do.

MR. BALDWIN: Actually, that's all the questions I have.

THE COURT: Any cross?

CROSS EXAMINATION OF TODD CLICK

QUESTIONS BY MS. DIENER.

- Q Mr. Click, in 2023, you were no longer with law enforcement?
- A That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And you wrote a letter to the prosecutor about this investigation that you knew had been going on since 2017?
- A That is correct.
- Q And you actually participated it in it at the request of you described it being Ferency and Murphy; is that correct?
- A That is correct.

CROSS EXAMINATION OF TODD CLICK

П		
	Q	And so if you prepared investigative materials and collected evidence and
	they v	vere your connection to this investigation, why would they not have been
	given	all of your information so that it was forwarded on to the Unified
	Comn	
	A	They were provided with everything that was completed.
	Q	Why didn't you do that?
	A	No, I did provide them with that information.
	Q	You gave it to Ferency and Murphy?
	A	F <mark>ere</mark> ncy and Murphy, yes.
	Q	Okay. So as long as Ferency and Murphy passed it along, 'cause you guys
		all working together, there'd be no reason to think they didn't have it;
	correc	
	A	That is correct.
	Q	You make statements about Mr. Holder and Mr. Westfall wearing
	Vinlan	der t-shirts; does that m <mark>ean something?</mark> Why do you know it's a Vinlander
l	t-shirt	
	A	Because the t-shirt said "Vinlander" across the chest.
	Q ,	You s <mark>aid you've know Elvis Fields for a long time?</mark>
	A	Yes, I have.
	Q	He's a local <mark>in y</mark> our area?
	A '	Yes, he is.
	Q	What's the mental capacity of Mr. Fields?
	A 1	know he did not complete high school. He has -
	Q 1	Does he have diminished mental capacity?
	Α 7	That I do not know.

1	Q Have you had contact with him?		
2	A Yes, I have.		
3	Q Often?		
4	A Yes, I have. I know he's not a very smart individual. As far as if he's bee		
5	diagnosed with any type of intellectual disability or anything like that, I'm no		
6	aware.		
7	MS. DIENER: No other questions.		
8	THE COURT: Mr. Baldwin.		
9	RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION OF TODD CLICK		
10	QUESTIONS BY MR. BALDWIN.		
11	Q Did you ever run into anybody, in your time as a detective, that had lo		
12	mental capacity that committed crimes?		
13	A Yes, sir.		
14	Q Serious crimes?		
15	A Yes, sir.		
16	MR. BALDWIN: Thank you. I did want to supplement my previous		
17	offer to prove with - Judge, if I may, and then I'll be done with him.		
18	THE COURT: Go ahead.		
19	MR. BALDWIN: Also, you would find that on this videotape		
20	interview of Brad Holder, he is not asked about why he and Patrick Westfall were		
21	no longer friends, as described by Amber Holder, who said that it had something		
22	to do with a ritual gone bad in a forest – in a forest by a river. With that, I am		
23	done with this witness.		
24	MS. DIENER: Again, the State would object that it was not known		
25	to law enforcement at the time that the video went missing and that's the reason		

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER

1	that we're here.		
2		THE COURT: And your objection is well-noted. You may step down,	
3	sir.		
4		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
5		THE COURT: Would you give that exhibit to the court reporter,	
6	pleas	se.	
7		THE WITNESS: I'm free to go, Your Honor?	
8		THE COURT: I didn't subpoena you.	
9		THE WITNESS: Okay.	
10	I	THE COURT: He's asking if he's released and -	
11		MR. BALDWIN: He's released from the Defense.	
12		THE WITNESS: Okay.	
13		MR. BALDWIN: Call Amber Holder.	
14		(Witness sworn.)	
15	M	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER	
16	QUE	STIONS BY MR. BALDWIN.	
17	Q	State your name for the record, please.	
18	A	Amber Holder.	
19	Q	How old are you, Amber?	
20	A	I am 33.	
21	Q	Okay. What do you do for a living?	
22	A	I'm a manager at Public Storage, I work 11 properties.	
23	Q	You work 11 properties doing what?	
24	A	I clean the inside of the properties and the outside and help customers.	
25	Q	Okay. How long you been doing that?	

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER

1	A	Maybe a year and three months.		
2	Q	Okay. I'll get right to this. Have you ever talked to the police about the		
3	mur	ders in Delphi?		
4	A	Yes.		
5	Q	How many times?		
6	A	Twice.		
7	Q	Okay. Let's talk about the first time you talked to the police. You		
8	reme	e <mark>mber wh</mark> ere that happened?		
9	A	Yes. At my grandmother's.		
10	Q	Okay. How long was that, the whole interview?		
11	A	Maybe an hour.		
12	Q	What did you talk about?		
13		MS. DIENER: Objection. Judge, I would ask that he establish the		
14	date	of this to determine relevance to this motion.		
15	Q	Was the –		
16		THE COURT: Yeah. When was it?		
17	Q	When was it?		
18	A	I'm not sure. I'm not good with dates.		
19	Q	Was it after the girls were murdered?		
20	A	Yes.		
21	Q	They were investigating the murders?		
22	A	Yes.		
23	Q	Okay.		
24		MS. DIENER: I renew my objection. It still needs to be within 2017,		
25	befor	before the videos were – the video was missing.		

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER

THE COURT: Do you have the date that she was interviewed? 1 2 Α I was -THE COURT: No, I'm not - I'm asking -3 4 MR. BALDWIN: Yeah. THE COURT: -- counsel. Was she - when was she interviewed 5 6 by -7 MR. BALDWIN: I think it was 2018. THE COURT: Okay. Then, again -8 9 MS. DIENER: That would be after. THE COURT: Would counsel approach, please. 10 11 (Sidebar conference conducted.) 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I don't know how to make myself any clearer to you that you've made specific allegations in your pleading that you are 13 held to. Calling witnesses up here that have been interviewed well past that date 14 15 is not well founded and it's improper. 16 MR. BALDWIN: I respectfully disagree with you, Judge. I think we plead and then we prove our pleadings through other evidence. The State, in its 17 charging information, didn't include very single piece of information. 18 THE COURT: That's not what we're here to talk about, Mr. Baldwin. 19 We're here to talk about your motion to dismiss for destroying exculpatory 20 evidence where you claim that a document dated February 17th of 2017, of Mr. 21 Holder, and February 19th of Mr. Westfall was destroyed. That's the very narrow 22 23 focus of what it is that we're here for. 24 MR. BALDWIN: Yeah. 25 THE COURT: And if you don't have witnesses that are gonna testify

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER

to these things, you're wasting everybody's time.

MR. BALDWIN: As Mr. McLeland argued in his response, Judge, that they had to – we have to prove that the State of Indiana or the law enforcement knew back in 2017 that there was material or exculpatory evidence –

THE COURT: Um-hmm. (Affirmative response)

MR. BALDWIN: -- or helpful evidence and also that there was bad faith.

THE COURT: Um-hmm. (Affirmative response)

MR. BALDWIN: And at the end of the road here, there is an argument to be made that back in 2017, based upon everything that you have heard, that the police – there was – and – that the police knew that there was exculpatory evidence on there and that –

THE COURT: And where is that evidence? That's what I keep asking you, where is that specific evidence? Because she wasn't interviewed until 2018, so how did the police know that in 2017, when you claim they destroyed it?

MR. BALDWIN: Police are not ever going to say, "I destroyed exculpatory evidence," it is not going to happen. What is going to happen is other evidence comes out, like what's contained in the *Franks* memo, that shows that there is a on-going hiding of evidence, not – refusing to investigate, things like that, and this is what we're trying to do. I can't – if you expect that there's gonna be a police officer that they're gonna call or that I'm gonna call that's gonna say, "I erased exculpatory evidence," that is never gonna happen anywhere in the world.

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER

MS. DIENER: Judge, he has to show that it's exculpatory evidence based on what was known on that date or in that timeframe before we have to show that it was not the result of bad faith. And if he shows it's exculpatory, bad faith doesn't even matter. If only - if he - if he can't show exculpatory and/or in the potentially useful category that we get to show that it was not as a result of bad faith. So his first burden is to show that it is materially exculpatory, the video that's missing. That's his burden. MR. BALDWIN: It's an impossible burden, Judge, because it is missing. THE COURT: That is unfortunate, but -MS. DIENER: Then concede and shift to potentially useful. THE COURT: -- that's what the case law says, Mr. Baldwin. MR. BALDWIN: Well -THE COURT: I can't change the case law. MR. BALDWIN: Well, this is how we prove it is by showing other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evidences of police behavior, bad behavior, not - and then you can surmise, as a judge, "Well, if all this happened then, then it could have happened back then."

MS. DIENER: I'm sorry, that's not what the case law indicates.

THE COURT: No, that is not what the case law says, sir; and if that's all you have, then we're done.

MR. BALDWIN: Then, I will offer to prove.

THE COURT: No, then we're done, if that's all you have.

MR. BALDWIN: I'm going to offer to prove, Judge, an offer of proof and then we will be done.

THE COURT: We are done, sir.

Volume 1, Page 38

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMBER HOLDER

MR. BALDWIN: For the record, they have a right to offer to prove so that the Court of Appeals can understand, you know, what we're doing, and we will have no record unless I offer to prove, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, you can sum up your offer to prove, Mr. Baldwin; but again, if all of the evidence that you have is after the dates that you allege, then your offer to prove is –

MR. BALDWIN: If Amber - thank you, Judge.

(Sidebar conference concluded.)

MR. BALDWIN: If Amber Holder were allowed to testify, she would tell you that police, including Jerry Holeman and the other people that were deposed by the defense counsel in August of 2023, have never talked to Amber Holder since August of 2023. She would testify that Brad Holder talked about the Delphi case; that Brad Holder told her that he and Patrick Westfall had a falling out over a ritual in the woods near a river because Patrick Westfall wanted to up the ante from animal sacrifices. If Amber Holder were allowed to testify, she would tell you that Patrick Westfall, according to Brad Holder, committed these crimes, he is protected by powerful people. He would al - she would also testify that she saw on Brad Holder's phone girls that he knew that he posed on the ground with sticks, and that she knows are runes from her own heathenism belief system, that were runes on these girls in the form of sticks, and that he had them on his phone and there were multiple photos on his phone; and finally, that she would also testify that Brad Holder knew Abby Williams much better than he told the police, he told her that he met her several times. That is the end of my offer of proof in this witness. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions for her?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 MS. DIENER: I have no questions for this witness. 2 THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. MR. BALDWIN: We have no other evidence, Judge. 3 4 THE DEFENSE RESTS 5 6 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Diener. 7 MR. BALDWIN: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge, I did. I wanted to - I apologize. I wanted to ask for the Court to take judicial notice of the Franks memo as it 8 relates to this request to dismiss charges, that included Jerry Holeman's 9 deposition. I would also ask for the Court to take judicial notice - well, I was 10 going to move to admit - well, I think the Franks memo, taking judicial notice of 11 that will be sufficient for what I need to do and argue. Thank you. 12 13 THE COURT: When did you file the Franks motion? MR. BALDWIN: September 18th, 2023. 14 THE COURT: All right. I will take judicial notice of that specific 15 16 Franks motion filed September 18th, 2023. 17 MR. BALDWIN: And the exhibits contained in it, Judge, as also part of that. Oh, and the supplemental Franks - the second Franks motion, as well, 18 we'd ask for and its exhibits, as well. That was filed, I believe, October the 3rd, 19 20 2023. THE COURT: So you don't wish me to take judicial notice of the 21 22 supplemental motion for Franks hearing that you filed October 2nd? 23 MR. BALDWIN: I do. I must have - I thought it was October the 3rd, it was October the 2nd. 24 25 THE COURT: Well, there was one filed October 2nd and then there

Volume 1, Page 40

1	was one filed October 3 rd .
2	MR. BALDWIN: And then, there was one more recent, and I'll just
3	throw that in, as well, for you to take judicial notice of the one filed a week or
4	two or ago - I don't remember the date - for purposes of this argument.
5	THE COURT: And when did you file that one?
6	MR. BALDWIN: I don't have that at the tip of my tongue here,
7	Judge. It was a week - probably two weeks ago.
8	THE COURT: I don't see one filed two or three weeks ago.
9	MR. BALDWIN: Give me a second. I just don't have it, but I know
10	it was filed.
11	THE COURT: Well, I can't take judicial notice of something you
12	can't tell me about. March 13th.
13	MR. BALDWIN: March 13th.
14	THE COURT: Well, I'll take judicial notice of the Franks motions
15	filed September 18th, October 2nd, October 3rd of 2023, and March 13th of 2024.
16	MR. BALDWIN: Is - Judge, does that include all the exhibits?
17	Whi <mark>ch I'm aski</mark> ng for judicial notice of all the exhibits, as well.
18	THE COURT: I don't see exhibits attached to this last one.
19	MR. BALDWIN: Yeah, there – I don't think there were on that one.
20	THE COURT: And I don't see exhibits from the ones in October,
21	either.
22	MR. BALDWIN: Then, just the first one.
23	THE COURT: Ms. Diener, you may proceed.
24	MS. DIENER: Thank you. The State would call Steve Mullin.
25	(Witness sworn.)

		11	
	1		DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STEPHEN MULLIN
	2	QUE	ESTIONS BY MS. DIENER.
	3	Q	Officer Mullin, you've already been on the stand today, but I'm gonna have
	4	you	repeat some of that information, since this is a separate hearing for a
	5		rate purpose; okay?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	Please state your full name, spell your first and last name, and tell us
	8	wher	e you're employed.
	9	A	Stephen L. Mullin, S-T-E-P-H-E-N M-U-L-L-I-N. I am a investigator with
1	0	the C	Carroll County Prosecutor's Office.
1	1	Q	And when did you begin as prosecutors investigator?
1	2	Α	In January of 2020.
1	3	Q	The investigation for Abby and Libby was well underway by that time, was
1	4	it not	
1.	5	A	Yes, it was.
16	6	Q	What was your position in 2017, when the murders occurred?
1′	7	A	I was chief of police in Delphi.
18	8	Q	And I believe we covered this, as well, but how many total years do you
19	9	have	in law enforcement?
20	0	A	Forty-two.
2:	1	Q	I believe you indicated you had been with the Delphi PD, then with the
22	2	Carro	oll County Sheriff's Department as a detective, then back with the City as

That is correct. 24

23

25

And what is your role in the Prosecutor's Office with regard to the State

chief, and now with the Prosecutor's Office; is that correct?

1	versus Richard Allen?
2	A I handle and manage the evidence, most of the evidence, in the Richard
3	Allen case and process it and make sure the Defense has it in discovery.
4	Q So you're cataloging it and keeping track of what's passed along to
5	Defense; is that correct?
6	A I am, yes.
7	(Cell phone rings.)
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Diener. Whose phone is going off?
9	MS. DIENER: It almost sounds like it's in the next room.
10	MR. BALDWIN: I apologize, Your Honor. (Inaudible) Oh, it is
11	ringing.
12	ATTORNEY NICHOLAS MCLELAND: Judge, there's a phone right
13	here, too. I don't know what that is.
14	MR. BALDWIN: It was turned off, but now it's on.
15	MS. DIENER: Is this yours also, Mr. Baldwin?
16	MR. BALDWIN: Yeah. Oh, I can grab that later. I'm sorry, I don't
17	want to interrupt your –
18	Q Are you familiar with the Brad Holder interview in 2017?
19	A I am.
20	Q Is there only one?
21	A That's correct.
22	MS. DIENER: May I approach, Judge?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	Q Mr. Mullin, I'd like to show you what is marked as State's Exhibit 1. Do
25	you recognize that document?

	II .	
1	A	Yes, I do.
2	Q	Okay. And how would you describe it?
3	A	This is what's called an ORION document; in other words, it's a narrative
4	of a	report which Special Agent Adam Pohl created after his interview with Brad
5	Hold	ler, and that n <mark>arrative was</mark> plac <mark>ed into the ORION –</mark> FBI ORION RMS report
6	syste	em.
7	Q _n	That is part of discovery; is that correct?
8	A	Yes, it is.
9	Q	And that interview was on what date?
10	A	It was done, according to the report, on 2/17 of 2017.
11	Q	And is Agent Pohl with what agency?
12	A	Agent Pohl is a special agent with the FBI.
13	Q	And he was assisting at that time –
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	with this investigation?
16	A	Yes, that's correct.
17		MS. DIENER: State would move to admit Exhibit 1.
18		STATE'S EXHIBIT 1 OFFERED
9		MR. BALDWIN: No objection.
20		THE COURT: All right. Without objection, 1 is admitted.
21		STATE'S EXHIBIT 1 ADMITTED
22	Q	You indicated that report is part of ORION and would be contained in
23	disco	very. With regard to preparation for today's hearing, are there other places
24	that	you know that particular narrative to be located within discovery?
25	A	Yes. That narrative would also be in the FBI general reports that were

1	furnished to us in discovery.
2	Q And did you prepare records –
3	MS. DIENER: Oh, Judge, may I go back to Exhibit 1? We would
4	ask that that be admitted under seal, because it is discovery that has a protective
5	order and it contains discovery information. I think that would be appropriate.
6	It's the report –
7	MR. BALDWIN: No objection.
8	MS. DIENER: of Brad Holder.
9	THE COURT: All right. I'll show it's sealed, then.
10	Q So, I'm sorry, you compared documents with regard to discovery on each
11	disclosure or each disbursement to Defense; is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q I'd like to show you what's marked as State's Exhibit 2. Just check all the
14	pages, make sure you recognize the entire document. Do you recognize that 19-
15	page document?
6	A Yes.
.7	Q And how would you describe the contents of that exhibit?
8	A This is an example of the itemized list of discovery which was given to the
9	Defense and they were - signed for the document - signed the document as
0.0	having reviewed the items listed in each page.
1	Q So you use this to keep track of what you've given them and on what date
2	it was provided; is that correct?
3	A Yes, it is.
4	MS. DIENER: Judge, may I leave a copy with him in order to -
5	THE COURT: Sure

1	MS. DIENER: State would move to admit Exhibit 2 under seal.
2	STATE'S EXHIBIT 2 OFFERED
3	MR. BALDWIN: No objection.
4	THE COURT: All right. Without objection, 2 is admitted and sealed.
5	STATE'S EXHIBIT 2 ADMITTED
6	Q Now, can you point to that document and provide to us information about
7	when this narrative report of Special Agent Pohl from the 2/17/2017 interview
8	of Br <mark>ad Holder</mark> would have been provided?
9	A That report should have been provided in the disbursement titled 04 Allen,
10	dated as received by an agent from Mr. Rozzi's office on February 13th, 2023.
11	And when you look at the discovery disclosure that's number 04, by
12	looking at that, would a person unfamiliar with the evidence drives or how they're
13	named, know that this report is contained within that discovery disclosure?
14	A The disclosure says that there was a 3.5 inch SATA internal hard drive
15	containing the files 04 Allen with several files and also a box containing hard
16	drives, this also contained the FBI documents then. And we also maintained a
17	copy of whatever was given to the Defense and a quantification of the data that
18	was given to them on site in a particular file that goes along with this document.
19	Q And so the report that you identified in Exhibit 1 you identified as an
20	ORION report and you believe it to be contained in disclosure number four?
21	A 04.
22	Q 04, yes.
23	A Yes.
24	Q And on that was on February 13th of '23?
25	A That's correct.

- And then, in preparation for today, did you also print out screenshots that Q would show what is contained within discovery disclosure number four, to be certain that the FBI reports, the ORION reports, and the State Police reports were all contained therein? Α I did. Here's State's Exhibit Number 3; can you identify that? It is three pages. Α I can. And can you describe it for the Court. Q This is a screenshot taken from the computer screen which displayed the files which were contained in this February 13th of 2023 disbursal of evidence. It shows the FBI files, Indiana State Police files, some search warrants, the 04 discovery receipt actually, and then - one, two, three, four - five Excel documents which quantify the data contained on the hard drives. And then, on the subsequent pages, what does that provide for reference? Thank you. The subsequent page, the next page, shows the Indiana State Police report, which, at this time, was redacted, and it shows three separate files. They received three separate files because the report, in their system, was too large for one particular case report. The next page shows the file which had the FBI general reports in it. And then, the next is an ORION - the download of the ORION file, dated 12/2/2022. Now, the interview by Agent Pohl of Brad Holder was subsequently discovered that the audio/video recording was no longer available; is that correct?
- A That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Can you describe the circumstances and how you learned that it was no

longer available.

2

A Where would you like me to begin?

3

Q Start with a description of where the interview recording was kept.

4

Department, and at that time, as chief, I had just installed a new interview

At the beginning of the investigation, we started out at the Delphi Police

5 6

system, it was a DVR, which we had put in because we didn't have anything

7

sufficient in the county to be able to record interviews within the city of Delphi.

8

So as we used the facility at the Delphi Police Department for the investigation

9

process, it became natural for everyone to use the interview room at the City

10

Police Department, which was located downstairs inside the police department.

11

And as interviews were conducted, officer would go into the interview room, flip

12

on the switch on the outside, illuminate a blue light to indicate the recording

13

was operating. And when they concluded, they would shut the interview room –

14

the recording off by turning the switch off. The DVR was located inside the squad room at the police department and it was sitting on top of a filing cabinet. At

15 16

that time, I was, more or less, obtaining the video off of the DVR for the officers

17

at their request, so they could attach it to their reports.

18

Q And you were not part of the lead agencies for this investigation; is that correct?

19

20

A As chief of police, I was not part of the investigation, but I had become part of the Unified Command somehow.

21

Q Because of your position as chief of police in Delphi?

23

22

A Yes.

24

And so the lead agencies would be whom, if you know?

25

Α

Indiana State Police, Carroll County Sheriff's Department, and obviously,

the FBI.

Q Okay. And so tell us about the discovery of loss of video that includes the Brad Holder 20 – February 17, 2017, interview.

A Around August of 2017, I went into the police department to recover a video off of the DVR, which I believe was unrelated to this particular case, and noted that the DVR was recording continuously. That meant that any video that was on the DVR prior to the date where it recorded up to was gone, it was no longer there, no longer recoverable. I, through my investigation, found that the last date of the interview was either the 19th or the 20th of February of 2017. So, unfortunately, all of the interviews that had been conducted during that period of time and after the crime – homicide was discovered up to that date were gone. Immediately when I discovered that the recorder was recording continuously, I unplugged it and contacted the vendor, and we determined that the videos were gone, and that somehow the settings had been changed in the DVR to only record when the switch was activated to record continuously, and we have no idea how it could have happened.

Q And have you consulted with the vendor about that particular issue to discern whether it was from a person versus just a spontaneous event like electricity going out or unplugging the equipment?

A I have. I've talked to the vendor and he has told me that on many occasions with the DVRs which he uses or sells that the – a power surge or unplugging the DVR could change the settings in the DVR so that it would record continuously.

Q So the murder of the girls is February 13th of 2017, or that's when they're reported missing; correct?

1	A Y	es.
2	Q A	nd the investigation began as soon as the bodies were found; would that
3	be fair t	to say?
4	A Y	es.
5	Q A	nd then your discovery would indicate that interviews conducted in that
6	intervie	w room with that DVR were missing from 2014 [sic] until February 20th,
7	but not	including February 20th?
8	A I	think there was some still available to be seen on the 20th.
9	Q O	okay. There might be some missing, but some are there for the 20th?
10	A Y	es. And I should also add that some of the audio was missing even for
11	the tim	es where there was actually video visible, so there may be video that's
12	visible,	but the audio randomly sometimes is not available to be heard.
13	Q C	Okay. And did you or anyone that you know of intentionally leave the
14	recordi	ng on so that it would delete interviews?
15	A A	absolutely not.
16	Q I	Do you consider this to be either human error or just a spontaneous event
17	with th	e DVR recording?
18	A T	hat's the only explanation I can provide.
19	Q N	Now, during that time period, there was also a follow-up interview with
20	Brad -	regarding Brad Holder; is that correct? Follow-up interviews to finish the
21	lead or	tip investigation? Is that right?
22	A (Can you be more specific in your question, please?
23	Q S	Sure. When Brad Holder was initially interviewed, what was going on with
24	the inv	restigation during the first few days where officers would be - like Special
25	Agent I	Pohl, would be sent to make contact with someone? Can you describe for

us what was going on.

A My recollection of the process was, as each day would happen, we would start with a meeting at the beginning of the day and tips and leads would be assigned to officers to follow up on or, throughout the day, as officers became available, they would follow up on tips and leads as they had time to do so. If people walked into the city building, as a way to provide information to officers in the investigation, someone on station would take that interview and talk to the person who was providing the information. If someone came to the Carroll County Sheriff's Department, they would either be instructed to come to the Police Department so they could talk with them there or – (clears throat) – excuse me – a phone number or contact information would be forwarded to someone in the command structure so that that could be followed up on as soon as possible.

Q And were instructions given on – in the morning of these daily meetings about use of the DVR if someone wished to use it?

A Yes. They were instructed as to how to turn the recorder on and to be sure to turn it off afterwards.

And when you discovered that it had been continuously running, did you look to see if someone had left the switch on, which would cause it to then continuously run?

A Thank you. I did, but I knew it wasn't on, because the switch was located in a very conspicuous location in the hallway at the police department. If I were to walk in the police department through the door from the outside, I would immediately see the blue light on on the interview room switch and I would realize somebody left it on and so it would be turned off. On that day, there was no light on.

- Q Okay. And the actual hard drive in this DVR, how much data could it hold?
- A I believe it was I'm sorry, I think it was six terabytes. My report reflects the size.
- 5 Q It's quite a lot?
- 6 A A lot.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q Okay. So my question to you is: During this time period between when the first well, let's go back to the way that leads were conveyed. So you have these meetings each day, officers come, you have this contact with them to give them instructions. Would it be fair to say that you have different officers pretty much every day, depending on who's available?
- A It was quite fair to say there was different officers every day. There were officers who worked within the departments who were coming every day, but there were also officers that came outside of the Carroll County Sheriff's Department, Indiana State Police. There were officers from West Lafayette, Lafayette, Tippecanoe County Sheriff's Department, others.
- Q In fact, Special Agent Adam Pohl and Hammond Police Sergeant Christopher Gootee, who appear on these reports or is it Gootee?
- 19 A Right.
- 20 Q -- are not local officers?
- 21 A No, they are not local to our area.
 - Q And so in what capacity were they at these meetings or assisting?
 - A Special Agent Pohl and Christopher Gootee were there to assist us in the process of following up on tips and they, like the others, would be there at the beginning of the day and receive instructions or, throughout the day, receive new

instructions on who to go interview and to follow up on.

- Q Can you give us a brief description of what a person in their capacity would be asked to do when following up on a lead or tip in order to be useful to the investigation.
- A They would seek out the information from either the seek out the person they were needed to talk with and then interview them concerning the information that was either provided about them or from them.
- Q And then, if that person was would there be anything about the person that might cause the officer to need to do more than just take an initial interview; for example, find out where they were on the day of February 13 or February 14?
- A Yes. All the officers were asked to follow up on that completely or as much as possible to determine where they were at on February 13th around the time we believe the homicides were to have occurred.
- Q Okay. So that brings me back to Brad Holder. Before the video was lost or discovered lost in August of 2017, and after Special Agent Pohl did his interview on February 17th of 2017, was there additional follow-up with regard to Bradley Holder that you know exists because of your intimate knowledge of discovery and taking care of reports with regard to this case?
- 19 A Yes, there is.
- 20 Q And do you recall what it is?
- 21 A I'm sorry?
- 22 Q Do you recall what it is?
- 23 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

Q I'm gonna show you what's marked as State's Exhibit 4. I apologize. Can you identify that? There we go. Okay, sorry. Can you identify that?

- 11		
1	A	I can.
2	Q	Okay. Can you describe that for us.
3	Α	This is the report which was completed by Special Agent Rich Davies of
4	the FE	3I, it details follow up which was done and relayed to him by Grid Officer –
5	which	is a task force officer assigned to the FBI – Fred Rogers, where he followed
6	up on	Brad Holder's work history on the date of February the 13th, 2017.
7	Q	Okay. And so that would be a lead follow up; correct?
8	A	Yes, yes.
9	Q	And did the content of that provide information as to Brad Holder's
10	where	abouts during that particular day when the murders were believed to have
11	occur	red?
12	A	Yes, it did.
13	Q	Okay. And so with regard to leads being assigned to officers, would this
14	lead t	o a particular event with regard to lead follow up with Unified Command?
15	Does	it put it in a particular category? Is it considered completed for the time
16	being	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	It completed the investigation concerning Mr. Holder.
20	Q	Unless something new became available?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Okay. During the time period between when the first interview was taken
23	and r	ecorded and the date that you were made aware that that first recording is
24	missi	ng, are these the only interviews of Mr. Holder that occurred?
25	A	Yes.

- 1	
1	Q And the second interview or the second report really isn't an interview of
2	Mr. Holder, it's follow up about Mr. Holder; is that right?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Okay. So at the time that the video was lost, destroyed, whatever
5	terminology one might want to use, was Brad Holder a key suspect in this case?
6	A No.
7	MS. DIENER: The State would move for the admission of Exhibit 4
8	under seal.
9	STATE'S EXHIBIT 4 OFFERED
0	THE COURT: Any objection?
1	MR. BALDWIN: No. I hadn't – have you given it to me?
2	THE COURT: All right. I'll show 4 is admitted without objection
13	and sealed.
14	STATE'S EXHIBIT 4 ADMITTED
15	MR. BALDWIN: Yeah, no objection, Judge. I'm sorry.
16	MS. DIENER: Judge, did I admit Exhibit 3 that was the three pages
17	of screenshots?
18	THE COURT: You did not offer it, no.
19	MS. DIENER: The State would move to admit Exhibit 3 with regard
20	to the screenshots, it's a three-page document.
21	STATE'S EXHIBIT 3 OFFERED
22	MR. BALDWIN: No objection.
23	THE COURT: All right. I'll show 3 is admitted without objection.
24	STATE'S EXHIBIT 3 ADMITTED
25	Q In fact, during the first seven days of the investigation, from February 13

Volume 1, Page 55

- until February 20th, the period of time where the audio/video recordings are missing, was anyone considered a key suspect, to your knowledge?
 - A To my knowledge, no.
- 4 | Q Do you have knowledge that Patrick Westfall was interviewed -
- 5 A Yes, I do.

2

3

- 6 Q -- in February of 2017?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit 5, it has two pages. Can you identify that?
- 10 A I can.
- 11 Q And what does that document contain?
- 12 A This is a report that would have been found in the FBI general reports,
 13 given to the Defense in discovery, detailing an interview which took place on
 14 Sunday, February 19th, 2017, conducted by Special Agent Adam Pohl and Grid
 15 Officer I'm sorry, Officer Gootee with the Hammond Police Department, where
 16 they did an interview at Patrick Westfall's residence of Patrick Westfall.
 - Q And is that particular document also contained within discovery, as previously testified to?
- 19 A Yes.

17

18

- 20 Q In the same location as documents with regard to Brad Holder?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q In FBI –
- 23 A In the FBI general reports.
- 24 | Q And in ORION?
 - And it is I believe it's in ORION, yes.

1	MS. DIENER: State would move to admit Exhibit 5.
2	STATE'S EXHIBIT 5 OFFERED
3	MR. BALDWIN: No objection.
4	THE COURT: Show 5 admitted without objection.
5	STATE'S EXHIBIT 5 ADMITTED
6	Q There have been some questions raised about whether a video of Patrick
7	Westfall exists or has been destroyed by the State. Do you have knowledge about
8	that?
9	A One never existed.
10	Q And what do you rely on for that information?
11	A They went to Patrick Westfall's residence. One, it was uncommon for the
12	FBI to record their interviews; two, we talked with Special Agent Pohl, who told
13	us he did not record the interview by any means and only memorialized it in his
14	report.
15	Q And just to reiterate, even though you've already answered this in a general
16	sense, was Patrick Westfall a key suspect in 2017?
17	A No, no.
18	Q Did you make attempts to retrieve or somehow recreate lost video from the
19	continuous play of the DVR?
20	A First of all, I contacted the vendor and we discussed options for recovery,
21	and then I presented the DVR video - excuse me, the DVR DVD to the Indiana
22	State Police to see if they could recover the video. It was never recovered.
23	Q It was never recovered?
24	A Correct.
25	Q In the discovery disclosures, did you share with Defense that the DVR for

Volume 1, Page 57

the time period of February 14th until the 20th had missing files, and if so, in what way?

- A From my memory, I believe that I informed the agent from Mr. Rozzi's office that there were problems with some of the video and that they could try to recover whatever video they could, but they had, in essence, the same thing that we did. I did not document that in any way at the time of the release at that stage, before they withdrew themselves from the case.
- Q Okay. So on the discovery disclosure or transfer to Defense that we referred to as the 04, based on the number that's on the top, it's from February 13th of 2023, that contained these interviews in written form, but is that the same day or time when you would have transferred any audio recordings or is it possibly on another day?
- A I'm sorry, Ms. Diener, can you rephrase the question?
- Q Yeah. The 04 disclosure that you talked about that contained these written reports from FBI and ORION from February of 2017, if there was video recordings that were also given, would they have been at that same time or some other disclosure?
- A I'm sorry, I can't remember exactly when I gave them what I gave them at this point, I'd have to look at my records to be able to refresh my memory. I'm sorry.
- Q That's okay, it's a lot of information. And at some point, the Defense specifically asked for video of Brad Holder; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And was a detailed response provided through a discovery disclosure?
- A Yes.

1	Q And indicated to them that there were lost recordings -
2	A Yes.
3	Q and his was one of them?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Was Brad Holder's the only recording that was lost?
6	A Heavens, no.
7	Q Okay. And the recordings weren't just for this investigation, were they?
8	A There were other investigations that were probably conducted during that
9	period of time in that same interview room that were destroyed, as well.
10	Q And do you have any way of recreating a list of all of the interviews that
11	happened during that timeframe?
12	A I do not.
13	Q And if officers did what was expected, which is write a narrative for the
14	interview that was recorded, then where would that information be contained?
15	A It would be contained within the reports and narratives that the officer
16	memorialized their interviews and either the State Police reports, the FBI reports,
17	or p <mark>erhaps, in the ORION RMS system.</mark>
18	Q Or even other agencies –
19	A Or –
20	Q beyond those; correct?
21	A Yes, other agencies; and that's true, there were other agencies that did
22	memorialize reports that we have turned over.
23	Q But the Court gave us a deadline of November 1st of 2023, to provide
24	discovery, and did you do everything you could to provide everything to them
25	that we had?

1 Yes, ma'am. 2 In fact, what has been your directive from Prosecutor McLeland with 3 regard to discovery and whether there should be decisions made about what we share and what we don't share? 4 To share everything that we have with them, even to a fault. 5 6 Which means every tip that came in through the FBI tip line they've been 7 provided? 8 Absolutely. If we have a record of it, they have it? 10 Yes. In the beginning - or when did you start your discovery transfers to the 11 Defense? 12 13 I believe December the 7th, 2022. 14 And that would have been shortly after their appointment, would it not? Q 15 Yes. 16 And has it been on-going since then, as reflected in your documents that 17 you prepared that are in Exhibit 2? 18 Yes. Α Now, there's some discovery that continues to be given to them; is that 19 20 correct? 21 Yes. Α Even though the deadline has passed? 22 Q 23 Α Yes. And some of that information is information you could not have had before 24

Volume 1, Page 60

November 1st of 2023; would that be fair to say?

1 Α I'm sorry, I couldn't hear all the question. 2 That's okay. Some of the information you're giving them, you could not O have given to them before November 1st, 2023, because it didn't exist; is that fair 3 4 to say? Yes. 5 For example, what would something like that be? 6 7 Α Recently, we recovered some interviews the State Police had located on one of their devices that had been recovered because of an inquiry about an interview 8 9 that had taken place, and that was furnished to us a few weeks ago and we 10 turned it over to the Defense as soon as we got it in our hands. 11 And those interviews had actually been requested to be downloaded and 12 attached to the State Police records, was it not? 13 Yes, and there was a report accompanying those, that evidence that was turned over to the Defense. 14 And specifically the officer who located that for you and did a supplement 15 16 report -17 Α Yes, he did. -- can you provide his name? 18 0 19 Yes. Oh, his name is Matthew J Harper. Thank you. And then, aren't you also providing information that comes in 20 21 from the DOC? Yes. 22 23 And so that would be discovery that was not available before November 1?

Volume 1, Page 61

And just to be clear, before the protective order for discovery existed, were

Α

O

24

25

Yes.

	there challenges to discovery and the transfer that were then alleviated by the
	discovery protection order?
	A Monstrous problems.
	Q And what were those problems?
	A The redaction of the data to remove criminal record information, Social
	Security numbers, phone numbers, anything that might identify persons'
	personal information which we had talked with or interviewed or followed up on
	in that six- or seven-year period of time.
	Q And so once that protective order for discovery was granted, you then felt
	comfortable duplicating discovery and providing it to the Defense without
	redaction; is that correct?
	A Yes, because we had the assurance that that information would not get
	out to the general public.
	MS. DIENER: I'd pass the witness.
	THE COURT: Mr. Baldwin.
	CROSS EXAMINATION OF STEPHEN MULLIN
	QUESTIONS BY MR. BALDWIN.
	Q So let me get this straight, you knew - you knew, in August of 2017, that
	Brad Holder's video was missing, it had been taped over; right? Well, let me
	make – I'll strike that. You knew, in August of 2017, that from February – what
	was it? – the 14th up until February 20th of 2017, that there – those no longer
	existed, those videos; right?
	A Yes, that's correct.
ı	O But then when you provided the Defense with discovery in - let's say I

think it was - the first time was in December, you didn't say, "Hey, by the way, I

1	need to tell you this, there's some missing video of people that were interviewed
2	in the very early stages of this case"? You didn't tell me that, did you? You
3	didn't tell Mr. Rozzi that, did you?
4	A I didn't tell you and I didn't tell Mr. Rozzi that, but I believe I mentioned it
5	to you or agent - his agent. It's from memory, my memory, I didn't write it down,
6	I didn't document it –
7	Q But it's not - okay. Well, did you tell Mr. McLeland that there was missing?
8	A Oh, yes, he knew.
9	Q You did? Okay. So in your - this is part of the packet that you just
10	introduced into evidence, this is from August 22nd, that you just introduced that
11	into evidence, I don't know which number it is.
12	MS. DIENER: Okay.
13	Q So in August of 20 – 22 nd , we got – you're involved in these letters that go
14	to Mr. McLeland or come from him. I want you to look at the very bottom that
15	I've highlighted, and that's one of the exhibits that's been introduced, I don't
16	remember which particular exhibit it was.
17	MS. DIENER: Number 2.
18	Q Exhibit 2, page 11, Exhibit 2.
19	A Page 11, Exhibit 2, yes.
20	Q Read the bottom of that just to yourself where I highlighted, just for ease.
21	I'm going to ask you a question about that.
22	A Yes.
23	Q May I have that?

Sure.

Α

Q

So in August of 2023, here's what you said about Brad Holder's video that

we requested, "You had previously received ISP reports that document those 1 interviews and what was said in those interviews, though we did not locate any 2 videos of those interviews"; right? 3 Correct. 4 What you didn't say was, "That's because they were taped over," did you? 5 You didn't tell us that, did you? 6 I didn't include that information, no. 7 Α We did not learn about that, that this tape-over business happened, until 8 9 February of 2024; isn't that right? Yes. 10 A Then we asked for any reports that detail the lost recordings, and we just 11 got that in evidence in the last couple weeks; right? 12 Correct. 13 A I'm gonna hand you what's gonna be marked as Defendant's Exhibit E and 14 0 ask you to identify that for me -15 Thank you. 16 17 -- tell me if you know about that. 18 Yes. That is a document, a report that I requested - we requested about, "Hey, 19 tell us about what - how did you memorialize the lost videotaped interviews"; 20 right? And that's what you gave us, Exhibit C? 21 Yes. Or -22 It doesn't -23

24

25

It's E.

It's not dated though, is it?

1	$\ \ _{A}$	It's E.		
2	$\left\ \left\ _{Q} \right\ $	E. Thank you.		
3				
4	$\ _{Q}$			
5	it			
6	5 A	There is no date on it.		
7	, _Q	Did you just produce this?		
8	B A	No.		
9) Q	You produced – you wrote this out way back in 2017?		
10	A			
11	Q	And we've just gotten it in 2024?		
12	A	Yes.		
13	Q	After we had to request it; right?		
14	A	Yes.		
15	Q	And that wasn't the only thing we got. I'm gonna hand you what's gonna		
16	be	be marked as Exhibit F. Because we wanted all reports about anything missing.		
17	. A	Sure.		
18	Q	Review that document and then – this was provided to us in the last couple		
19	w	weeks. Tell me when you're ready to talk about it.		
20	A	Go ahead.		
21	Q	This says that, "drive 2 contained the - containing the data of recordings		
22	m	made" – this is in the third paragraph – "made by the DVR includes interviews		
23	in	in room one, two, and three, and four were missing from April the 28th, 2017, to		
24	Jı	une 30th, 2017; right? That's what that says? There's missing video for almost		
25	tw	vo months; right?		

1	A	I'm not certain that that was the final conclusion. I drafted this report
2	after 1	the incident had occurred, but in that DVD - excuse me, that hard drive
3	there	were errors associated with that DVR and the compromise of the data on
4	it –	
5	Q	And –
6	A	and I explained in the report how that occurred.
7	Q	You do, but the crux of it is from April 28th, 2017, to June 30th, 2017, there
8	ain't r	no videos of any interviews; right?
9	A	I'd have to go back and review now to make sure, but I believe there may
10	be int	erviews, but they may not have sound.
11	Q	And this was the first time this document, Exhibit F I think it is, was given
12	to thi	s off – to my partner, co-counsel and I, was within the last couple weeks
13	and w	ve had to ask for it; right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	But we did get a narrative report of Brad Holder; right?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	About six paragraphs for Brad Holder, that's what his interview with police
18	or law	enforcement kind of came down to, just a number of paragraphs; right?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	How long was this interview?
21	A	I don't know.
22	Q	What did he say in this interview, do you know?
23	A	I don't know.
24	Q	What happened to his - when you discovered, in August of 2017, that he
25	- his	videotaped interview had been taped over, what did he say when you

- 1	
1	followed up in September of 2017, to follow up to make sure that at least that's
2	memorialized, what was lost was memorialized closer in time to 2017? What did
3	he say?
4	A I'm just not sure if I understand your question.
5	Q Did you interview back Brad Holder in August of 2017, after you found out
6	that his video was missing, just to give a good accounting of what he said, just
7	another interview?
8	A I didn't.
9	Q That'd been a good idea, don't you think?
10	MS. DIENER: Objection. It wasn't his place to decide.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	Q So I wanna go through those two timeframes, we've got February – what?
13	- 14 th through the 20 th , 2017. There's not a single log, is there, of who went in
14	and was interviewed; right?
15	A Not that I'm aware of.
16	Q The early stages of an investigation - you did an investigation, at some
17	point?
18	A I talked to people and investigated leads.
19	Q Would you agree with me that, early on in an investigation, that can be
20	some of the most important timeframe to receive information?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You didn't go back and reinterview any of those people from the first few
23	days, did you? Not just Brad Holder, but anybody whose video interview was
24	lost?
25	A I did not.

And nor did you try to recreate a log of, "Hey, I want to send a memo out to all the police officers who interviewed people, please tell me who you remembered videotaping, because there's six days of lost video." Did you do anything like that or -I didn't. -- did law enforcement? No. Α No. Brad Holder was only interviewed in 2023 as a follow up because of our depositions that were focusing on him; would you agree? Possibly. There's no other reason you would have gone and deposed - interviewed Brad Holder then; right? I did not interview Brad Holder. A You know he was interviewed? 0 I've heard - yes, I've heard he was interviewed and there's a report about it. I mean, if you could get the recordings back, you would want them back, would you agree? Absolutely. The second video timeframe that we're talking about, April 28th through June 30th, there was an opportunity to get - unearth those lost videos with some type of Chinese equipment -Software. -- software; right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Um-hmm. (Affirmative response)

1 O Did you get the software? I turned it over to the State Police for them to follow up with. 2 3 Do you know whether they followed up with the Chinese software to unearth these? I know that we were able to view the videos, but some do not have audio; 5 so it may have been successful, but limited success. 6 Okay. Okay. So did all the videos get recovered then with this Chinese 7 0 software? 8 I can't say whether all of them were recovered. We tried to see as many as possible. 10 Did you follow up - once the Chinese software came into place and 11 something seems, according to you, to have been recovered, did you do a report 12 13 on that and say, "Well, here's what's been recovered and here's, you know, I think here's what that is and here's what we don't have recovered"? You do 14 15 anything like that? Because I don't see any report about a Chinese - the Chinese 16 software working. Is there such a report somewhere that said the Chinese 17 software worked? 18 I don't have it. Okay. You did these other reports. 19 Um-hmm. (Affirmative response) 20 Α Undated; right? You should have put the date on there. 21 0 22 Α Thank you. Yeah. Can we agree on that? 23

Yeah. There were lots of tips - I mean, you're an investigator for the

Volume 1, Page 69

I'll do better.

Α

Q

24

Prosecutor's Office. Early on, there were lots of tips about Brad Holder; right? There was a few. Α More than a few. More than a few, would you agree? A few. Α And some - and so what I understood on direct examination is that when people came in about a tip, then they were told, some of them, at least, to go to the Delphi Police Department and get interviewed on video; is that right? Yes. So some of these people that were - if there were people, these few tips that were related to Brad Holder, they would have, theoretically, gone into the interview room, as well; is that correct? Likely, yes. Α And those would be lost? 0 Α Yes. And because there's no log, you don't know who was interviewed or who was even interviewing; right? Α Correct. You should have a log; would you agree? 0 Hindsight's 20/20. And the State was talking about, well, if they go - they were trained to look for specific information like alibis and then follow up on the alibis; right? Α Yes. So that's kind of a no-brainer, alibis; but would you agree with me that, 0 early on in the investigation, you don't know what's relevant or important related to things that could be found in the future?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	A True.
2	Q So it's nice that you can do an alibi, but what happens if a single sentence
3	- would you agree that a single sentence in an interview, taken in context with
4	evidence in the future, might make a big difference in the case?
5	MS. DIENER: Objection: Speculation.
6	MR. BALDWIN: I'm asking him as an investigator.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	Q And you talked and referenced one of the State's exhibits regarding - I
9	think I have it here - the unclassified Federal Bureau of Investigation 413.17
10	follow up on Brad Holder's alibi; right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And that's entered into evidence – here, I'll hand you this, I don't know
13	what exhibit that is.
14	A Thank you.
15	MS. DIENER: I believe it's 5.
16	MR. BALDWIN: Exhibit 5.
17	Q It says, "The Human Resources director, Susan Case, said that the
18	security camera at the scales may have picked up his vehicle," the video may
19	have picked up the vehicle coming and going on that date; right? That's what it
20	says?
21	A Yes. (Clears throat) Excuse me. Yes.
22	Q Did you get the video of this – from the Human Resources director? Is that
23	a part of the discovery that we all have?
24	A No.
25	Q Shoulda had that; right?

1	A I didn't have it.
2	Q All that they had really was somebody - the Human Resources director
3	said that somebody clocked in with Brad Holder – saying they were Brad Holder;
4	right? That's all they said? Go ahead and read it.
5	A "I suppose they would have to work his with entire shift, running his
6	machine, as well."
7	Q Did somebody go - is it your understanding that somebody went and
8	talked to Bradley Holder's co-workers that day to say, "Hey, was Bradley Holder
9	working beside you?"
10	MS. DIENER: Objection. It's irrelevant.
11	THE COURT: Sust -
12	MR. BALDWIN: I'll move on. I'm almost done.
13	Q Did you check to see if Brad Holder switched vehicles with his oldest son
14	that day? Has that been -
15	MS. DIENER: Again, objection.
16	MR. BALDWIN: I'll move on.
17	MS. DIENER: This officer did not complete the follow up
18	investigation.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
20	Q Would you agree with me that if an officer failed to prepare his report
21	before the video was the erased, and he was gonna use the video to help
22	supplement, you know, as he wrote, that there may not even be a memorialized
23	copy? Could that be a possibility?
24	A It would be difficult to, if you're going to use that process, because the
25	video was gone; however, I should say, that it was a practice for a lot of officers

	1	to request the video be retrieved from the DVR before the erasal occurred.	
	2	Q Okay. So that was common, officers would come in and say, "Hey, I want	
	3	to do a report. Can I see the video?"	
	4	A Yes.	
	5	Q Because there's things on a video that can be important to an officer.	
	6	"Gosh, I just found out this one thing over here. Let me see, what was important	
	7	over there on the video"; right? That's maybe why they want to do that; right?	
	8	A It's possible.	
	9	Q Yeah. You said that Brad Holder was never - has he ever been a key	
	10	suspect to this day in this case?	
	11	A He was at work.	
	12	Q He was at work. So therefore, he has never been a key suspect, no matter	
	13	what any other evidence that exists out there, he was at work?	
	14	A That's what the report says.	
	15	Q Patrick Westfall the same?	
	16	A His interview would be contained in the report that Agent Pohl did.	
	17	MS. DIENER: Judge, I would object to the question anyway. We're	
	18	here on a motion to dismiss for destruction of exculpatory evidence or potentially	
	19	useful, and that was –	
	20	MR. BALDWIN: No more questions.	
	21	MS. DIENER: specific to Brad Holder.	
	22	THE COURT: Sustained.	
	23	MR. BALDWIN: Sorry to interrupt. Sorry, Judge. No more	
1	24	questions.	
	25	THE COURT: Any redirect, Ms. Diener?	

Volume 1, Page 73

MS. DIENER: Yes.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STEPHEN MULLIN

QUESTIONS BY MS. DIENER.

- Q Investigator Mullin, you prepared two documents that have been marked by Defense as Exhibit E and F, which are the letters regarding the two different issues with DVR recordings. They do not contain dates, but they do have information at the top referencing Delphi case number and multiple others. Do you have those exhibits in front of you?
- 9 A I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- Q And so at the top, can you explain what's under "Investigative Report" for the Court.
- A It is titled "Delphi Police Department Investigative Report" and it shows the Delphi Police Department case number that we did for an assist in this investigation and the Carroll County Sheriff's Department case number for the double homicide and the State Police's case number, and the FBI number associated with their case reporting system.
- Q So when this discovery was made and you documented this information, did you share it with other law enforcement?
- A I did.
- 20 Q And can you tell from your memory or from these letters who you shared 21 lit with?
 - A I certainly advised first Sergeant Holeman immediately and Detective Liggett and Detective Kevin Hammond of the unfortunate events with the DVRs.
 - Q Okay. And they were part of Unified Command?
 - A Yes.

- RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STEPHEN MULLIN And so they could then pass that along to anyone that did an interview that might need to be redone or follow up that might be necessary based on the fact that those interviews were no longer available; is that true? That is true. And you indicated that you gave information to an agent of defense counsel that certain data was missing when discovery was turned over. Could you expand on that, how did you identify that and to whom would you have been speaking? An agent of Mr. Rozzi's office, and again, this is my memory, I didn't document it anywhere. Did you mark the hard drives? Most recent, yes, I did. The most recent after their - they had been placed back on the case again, I marked them, each one as having no sound or -So -0 -- no video.
- 14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

- 15
 - Or no video. So when they got discovery back, after being removed and put back on the case, and you were giving them discovery in January and thereafter, you marked the DVRs that had no video and no sound?
 - Yes, I did.
- 20 And when you say an agent of Mr. Rozzi's office, are we talking about Sarah 21 Luxenberg?
- 22 Yes.
- 23 And isn't it true that she picked up the evidence almost every single time?
- 24 Α Yes.
 - Okay. Did you feel you had a rapport with her where you could share that

1	with her and it would get passed along to defense counsel?
2	A Yes.
3	MS. DIENER: Okay. No other questions.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Baldwin.
5	RE-CROSS EXAMINATION OF STEPHEN MULLIN
6	QUESTIONS BY MR BALDWIN.
7	Q There was lots of video that had blank on it; right? Where - that you gave
8	Sarah? Could you have been talking about that, where you open it up and
9	there's nothing on there?
10	A I'm not sure how they appeared on the DVD - or the hard drive, excuse
11	me. I believe only the videos that were left on the hard drive would actually
12	appear, so you wouldn't be able to pull up something that was just blank.
13	Q I'm talking about empty files that were provided to us. There were things
14	that you told Sarah Luxenberg, "Hey, you're gonna open up some things and
15	there are empty files in there"; isn't that right?
16	A Perhaps at the first, because we had - and each one of those showed in
17	progress as we were putting more information into them. That might be in
18	December of '22.
19	Q But we didn't know about the April 28th through the June 30th, those two
20	timeframes of missing video, until just in the last two weeks, you didn't tell Sarah
21	Luxenberg about that, did you?
22	A I'm sorry, Mr. Baldwin, I didn't hear all of your question.
23	MR. BALDWIN: You know what, it's late, I'm gonna shut up. No
24	more questions.
25	THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Diener?

Volume 1, Page 76

1	MS. DIENER: No.
2	THE STATE OF INDIANA RESTS
3	
4	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	MS. DIENER: No other witnesses.
7	THE COURT: You may step down, yeah. Do you wish to admit E
8	and F? They have not been offered or admitted.
9	MR. BALDWIN: I do. I'm sorry, Judge, I do wish to admit E and F.
10	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS E AND F OFFERED
11	THE COURT: Any objection?
12	MS. DIENER: No.
13	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS E AND F ADMITTED
14	MR. BALDWIN: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Does the Defendant have any additional evidence?
16	MR. BALDWIN: We don't, Judge. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: You wish to make any closing statements?
18	MR. BALDWIN: I'll wave, Judge.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Diener.
20	MS. DIENER: Judge, I believe that our response, filed with the
21	Court on February 22 nd , properly summarizes the issues and the case law, so I
22	will waive.
23	THE COURT: All right. I will take the matter under advisement
24	with all of the respective exhibits that have been admitted. And before you all
25	leave, you have respectively filed - the State filed a motion for leave of Court to

subpoena third party records to the Department of Correction. I've received no objection or motions to quash from the Defense. The Defense filed a notice of discovery, indicating that Mr. Allen's medical records, part one and two, had been provided to the State, so does that take care of your request for the medical records?

MR. MCLELAND: Judge, no, because I think – Defense and I had a conversation, we're concerned that the records that were provided to the Defense may not be a complete set of records from the DOC. I found it necessary that we want the complete set of medical and mental health records to address those issues at trial and so that is the reason we subpoenaed the DOC, to make sure we get the complete record from DOC.

THE COURT: Okay. And the Defendant filed a motion to compel and request for sanctions, that needs to be set for hearing.

MR. BALDWIN: Judge, I amended that because, after I filed it, I found an e-mail from a few days before where Mr. McLeland had responded and, therefore, I would move to – well, I would ask the Court to focus on the motion to amend, because in that motion to amend, I explain that and then I focused on the things that weren't satisfactorily explained or provided.

THE COURT: So you want me to just rule on the amended pleading?

MR. BALDWIN: Correct, not on the first motion to compel.

THE COURT: And the State, you filed your response to the original pleading March 18th, so if you want to supplement, you need to do that quickly.

MR. MCLELAND: Will do, Judge.

THE COURT: And then, you also filed your third Franks notice and

7

8

10 11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2425

request for a *Franks* hearing. I'll have to review that and issue an order. Is there anything else – oh – before we go? Then I will grant the State's motion for leave of Court to subpoena third party records to the Department of Correction, both of the ones that have been filed.

MR. ROZZI: Judge - I'm sorry, may I, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROZZI: I'd like to speak on that issue, if that's okay.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ROZZI: Actually, I'm opposed to the Court granting that at this point. We're still within the 15-day notice period, and what's going on here is, is that I made a new request on the Department of Corrections after we were reinstated in this case and they had just provided that to me, and there's nothing untimely about any of this, but it just happened I want to say in the last - it was last week. Ms. Gallagher from the DOC has been working with me to try to get that information, and so there - it - and when I received it, we were having a difficulty - we were having difficulty, essentially, recovering that from their software program, which has been one of the - frankly, one of the challenges that we faced. You get discovery from one entity and it comes through Dropbox, and you get it from another entity and it comes through a Google account, and you get it from another entity and they've got their own software program, and so my staff member, up until I think this past Friday, was working with the DOC rep, Ms. Bedwell, to try and get that information converted into a format that we could read. And I do believe Mr. McLeland's correct, that I do think that there was information available to me that the DOC had about Mr. Allen, medical and psychiatric, when I made the first request that I didn't get; because now that I

have kind of a refreshed request, I'm seeing additional stuff. So this is a short – a long way of saying I would like a chance to review what I just received before I just agree to disclose it, 'cause I don't know what it is, and it's quite voluminous, as you might imagine with medical records. I know one of the files I think that I shared with Mr. McLeland was like 900 pages, so I mean, in fairness, there were some blank pages mixed in there, but my request is, is the Court allow me the 15 days or whatever time period is left to file my motion to quash. I really do believe that's probably not gonna happen, because I'm trying to be transparent with Mr. McLeland, I already showed him the first two dumps I got, so I'd like some time to look at that before my –

THE COURT: The 15 days is up March 29th, so it needs to be filed on or before that date.

MR. ROZZI: Very good. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from the State on anything that we've covered yet today?

MR. MCLELAND: No, Your Honor. I think that covers it.

THE COURT: Anything else from the Defense?

MR. ROZZI: No, ma'am.

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. We are completed. Jodie, you can go off the record. Thank you very much.

(THIS CONCLUDES THE PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS CONDUCTED MARCH 18, 2024, IN THIS CAUSE.)

	1	STATE OF INDIANA) IN THE CARROLL CIRCUIT COURT)SS:
	2	COUNTY OF CARROLL) CAUSE NO.: 08C01-2210-MR-1
	3	
	4	STATE OF INDIANA,) Plaintiff,
	5	vs.
	6 7	RICHARD ALLEN, Defendant,
	8	33000000000000000000000000000000000000
	9	
	10	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
	11	I India I Williams official assesses 6 Co. 1 1 1 1 P. C. C. W.
_	12	I, Jodie L. Williams, official reporter for Special Judge Frances C. Gull,
	13	hereby certify that I took down by means of electronic reporting equipment all of
	14	the proceedings had the Hearing on Motion to Dismiss held March 18, 2024, in
	15	said cause.
	16	I further certify that the above and foregoing transcript is a full, true, and
	17	complete copy of said proceedings.
	18	WITNESS my hand and seal this 27th day of March, 2024.
	19	
	20	
	21	Jodie L. Williams Jodie L. Williams, Reporter
	22	Allen Superior Court
	23	Allen County, Indiana
	24	
	25	